DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

From:

Charles W. Clarke <billclarke@mindspring.com>

To:

A4.A4 (SSEGAL) 5/21/98 7:37pm

Date: Subject:

Comments to the Chairman

Charles W. Clarke (billclarke@mindspring.com) writes:

Sir:

I Don't generally complain about whatever is handed me, but this fellow Brother Stair broadcasting on 3945 in the 75 meter ham band is a little much.

His listeners are unlikely to look for him there, so why is he there? Sure it is a legal frequency in Region 1, but he is wrong in doing what he is doing. Spoiling a whole segment of the 75 meter band. RECEIVED
MAY 1 2 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Can anything be done about this?

Charles W Clarke W2BLC

Server protocol: HTTP/1.0 Remote host: 207.205.163.41

Remote IP address: 207.205.163.41

No. of Copies rec'd_____ List A B C D E

RM9247

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

From:

KB7PYF <KB7PYF@aol.com>

To:

A7.A7 (WKENNARD), FCCMAIL.SMTPNLM("k7pp@ix.netcom.co...

Date:

5/22/98 12:22am

Subject:

RM 9267

RECEIVED

Subject: RM 9267

Date: Thursday 21 May 1998

MAY 22 1998

Chairman

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Sir,

It is my understanding this new rule is yet another attempt of the private sector to obtain more frequencies at the expense of the Amateur Radio allotments. I have been Amateur license licensed since 1997. I am not pleased with the threat of loosing public owned radio frequencies to the private sector.

Please consider that the amateur frequencies are public property and a non renewable resource. Having the private sector obtain these frequencies will only solve their problems short term. They need to put their efforts into more efficient use of the spectrum they already have.

I might point out that I live in the Seattle area (Poulsbo) that might be considered a major metropolitan radio location. I can tell you for a fact that the 220 commercial frequencies that the private sector said they couldn't live with out a few years ago are underpopulated and under used. Do the research. Listen to the 220 Mhz radio band and verify for yourself.

I can also tell you that according to our local coordinating body the Amateur radio folks have all frequencies between 440 and 450 Mhz, in the Seattle area coordinated for use on one or more repeaters or links.

I can tell you that many of the frequencies between 430 and 440 are also populated with links and Amateur Television.

Many of our systems in the Puget Sound and Seattle areas are used heavily. I am a staff member a major Amateur Radio network (K7PP Repeater System) in the Seattle area with 3732 registered users. We have links to all points of Washington State and to neighboring States of Idaho.

Oregon, Montana and a satellite link to Hawaii. We use the UHF Amateur frequencies to make this possible. If Amateur radio looses the UHF 440 band(linking frequencies), the loss of coverage will not only be for the Amateur radio community, but also state wide emergency communication for the State of Washington.

The Amateur frequencies are one of the last places where the common person doesn't have to pay someone to communicate with his family. Again, the UHF frequencies make this possible.

You have a lot of really upset VOTING citizens with their attention on this matter.

Please give it your utmost attention.

PLEASE do not sell off public property for monetary gain. The public gains by having us in each town and city in America. When all else fails in time of emergency Amateur Radio is there to provide emergency communication for fire/police and aid along with health and welfare traffic for the folks in need. OUR radio systems work when others fail. Ask the folks in Florida, along the Mississippi, California after the quake. ASK for pete*s sake about the FREE communication service provided at NO COST to the taxpayer. Amateur Radio operators are trained communicators and are in all cities in America.

No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D E Amateur Radio is there on site before the emergency happens ready to serve. ASK the RED CROSS the value of Amateur Radio. ASK, please ASK. Make a wise decision for all America.

When all the frequencies are gone, where will they turn to then? Please tell the private sector to look to the channels that are currently under used by their own kind. I would also suggest they look at developing better technology to allow more operational channels because that's the real solution to the issue.

Sincerely,

Fredrick N. Holm, KC7USU Poulsbo, WA.



From:

Steve G. Floyd AC4QB <ac4qb@bellsouth.net>

AC4QB (ac4qb@bellsouth.net) writes:

To:

A4 . A4 (SSEGAL)

Date: Subject:

Steve G. Floyd

5/22/98 12:29am

Comments to the Chairman

MAY 22 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Sir.

As a active user of 75 meters, both for pleasure and emergency communications, I find it hard to believe that the FCC would allow a over-seas broadcast station whos programing is here in the US, to "broadcast" on 3.945. Sir, this practice must not be allowed to continue! We, as Amateur Radio Operators, are not allowed to use the "ham bands" for profit, why should any one else? Please do what you can to remove the broadcast on 3.945.

Thank you for your time,

AC4QB

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1 Remote host: 209.214.46.37

Remote IP address: 209.214.46.37

No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D E